

# Poisson-Jacobi reduction of homogeneous tensors \*

J. Grabowski<sup>1</sup>, D. Iglesias<sup>2</sup>, J.C. Marrero<sup>3</sup>, E. Padrón<sup>3</sup>, P. Urbanski<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Mathematical Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences  
Śniadeckich 8, P.O.Box 21, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland  
E-mail: jgrab@impan.gov.pl*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16802, USA  
E-mail: iglesias@math.psu.edu*

<sup>3</sup> *Departamento de Matemática Fundamental, Facultad de Matemáticas  
Universidad de la Laguna, La Laguna  
Tenerife, Canary Islands, SPAIN  
E-mail: jcmarrer@ull.es, mepadron@ull.es*

<sup>4</sup> *Division of Mathematical Methods in Physics, University of Warsaw  
Hoża 74, 00-682 Warsaw, Poland  
E-mail: urbanski@fuw.edu.pl*

## Abstract

The notion of homogeneous tensors is discussed. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between multivector fields on a manifold  $M$ , homogeneous with respect to a vector field  $\Delta$  on  $M$ , and first-order polydifferential operators on a closed submanifold  $N$  of codimension 1 such that  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$ . This correspondence relates the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of multivector fields on  $M$  to the Schouten-Jacobi bracket of first-order polydifferential operators on  $N$  and generalizes the Poissonization of Jacobi manifolds. Actually, it can be viewed as a super-Poissonization. This procedure of passing from a homogeneous multivector field to a first-order polydifferential operator can be also understood as a sort of reduction; in the standard case – a half of a Poisson reduction. A dual version of the above correspondence yields in particular the correspondence between  $\Delta$ -homogeneous symplectic structures on  $M$  and contact structures on  $N$ .

*Mathematics Subject Classification* (2000): 53D17, 53D10

*Key words and phrases:* Homogeneous structures, Jacobi structures, Poisson structures, Schouten-Nijenhuis brackets, Schouten-Jacobi brackets, symplectic forms, contact forms.

## 1 Introduction

As it has been observed in [KoS], a Lie algebroid structure on a vector bundle  $E$  can be identified with a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on the exterior algebra of multisections of  $E$ ,  $Sec(\wedge E)$ , which is just a graded Poisson bracket (Schouten bracket) on  $Sec(\wedge E)$  of degree  $-1$ , that is, the Schouten bracket is graded commutative, satisfies the graded Jacobi identity and the graded Leibniz rule.

---

\*Research supported by the Polish Ministry of Scientific Research and Information Technology under the grant No. 2 P03A 020 24 and MCYT grants BFM2000-0808 and BFM2003-01319. D. Iglesias wishes to thank the Spanish Ministry of Education and Culture and Fulbright program for a FPU grant and for a MECD/Fulbright postdoctoral grant.

In the particular case of the Lie algebroid structure on the tangent vector bundle of an arbitrary manifold  $M$  one obtains the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]_M$  on the space of multivectors on  $M$ .

For a graded commutative algebra with 1, a natural generalization of a graded Poisson bracket is a graded Jacobi bracket: we replace the graded Leibniz rule by that  $\{a, \cdot\}$  is a first-order differential operator on  $\mathcal{A}$ , for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  (cf. [GM2]).

Graded Jacobi brackets on  $\text{Sec}(\wedge E)$  of degree  $-1$  are called Schouten-Jacobi brackets. These brackets are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs  $(E, \phi_0)$ , where  $\phi_0 \in \text{Sec}(E^*)$  is a 1-cocycle in the Lie algebroid cohomology of  $E$ . In this case, we said that  $(E, \phi_0)$  is a generalized Lie algebroid (Jacobi algebroid) (see [GM1, IM2]).

A canonical example of a Jacobi algebroid is  $(T^1M, (0, 1))$  where  $T^1M = TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is the Lie algebroid of first-order differential operators on the space of smooth functions on  $M$ ,  $C^\infty(M)$ , with the bracket

$$[\![X \oplus f, Y \oplus g]\!]_M^1 = [X, Y] \oplus (X(g) - Y(f)),$$

for  $X \oplus f, Y \oplus g \in \text{Sec}(T^1M)$  (see [M, N]) and the 1-cocycle  $\phi_0 = (0, 1) \in \Omega^1(M) \oplus C^\infty(M)$ .

It is well-known that a Poisson structure on a manifold  $M$  can be interpreted as a canonical structure for the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]_M$  of multivector fields on  $M$ , i.e., as an element  $\Lambda \in \text{Sec}(\wedge^2 TM)$  satisfying the equation  $[\![\Lambda, \Lambda]\!]_M = 0$ . In similar way, a Jacobi structure is a canonical structure for the Jacobi bracket  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]_M^1$ .

On the other hand, it is proved in [DLM] that if  $\Lambda$  is a homogeneous Poisson tensor with respect to a vector field  $\Delta$  on the manifold  $M$  and  $N$  is a 1-codimensional closed submanifold of  $M$  such that  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$  then  $\Lambda$  can be reduced to a Jacobi structure on  $N$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to give an explicit (local) correspondence between  $\Delta$ -homogeneous multivector fields on  $M$  and first-order polydifferential (i.e. skew-symmetric multidifferential) operators on  $N$ . This correspondence relates the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of multivector fields on  $M$  to the Schouten-Jacobi bracket of first-order polydifferential operators on  $N$ . This is of course a generalization of [DLM] formulated in a structural way. It explains the role of homogeneity for certain reduction procedures, e.g. in passing from Poisson to Jacobi brackets (in mechanics: from symplectic form to a contact form). But our result can be applied in Nambu-Poisson geometry (cf. Corollary 3.13) or multisymplectic geometry and classical field theories as well.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notions of Schouten-Nijenhuis and Schouten-Jacobi brackets associated with any smooth manifold. In Section 3.1 we introduce the notion of  $\Delta$ -homogeneous tensors on a homogeneous structure  $(M, \Delta)$  (a pair where  $M$  is a manifold and  $\Delta$  is a vector field on  $M$ ).

Moreover, for a particular class of homogeneous structures (strict homogeneous structures), we will characterize the  $\Delta$ -homogeneous contravariant  $k$ -tensors in terms of their corresponding  $k$ -ary brackets.

The main result of the paper is Theorem 3.11 of Section 3.2, which provides the one-to-one correspondence between homogeneous multivector fields and polydifferential operators we have already mentioned. This result is a generalization of the result of [DLM] cited above and it allows us also to relate homogeneous Nambu-Poisson tensors on  $M$  to Nambu-Jacobi tensors on  $N$ . These results are local. We obtain global results in the particular case of the Liouville vector field  $\Delta = \Delta_E$  of a vector bundle  $\tau : E \rightarrow M$ . We called this correspondence a Poisson-Jacobi reduction, since it can be understood as a sort of reduction, a half of a Poisson reduction (cf. Remark 3.12, ii)).

Finally, we prove a dual version of Theorem 3.11. What we get is a one-to-one correspondence between homogeneous differential forms on  $M$  and elements of  $\text{Sec}(\wedge(T^*N \oplus \mathbb{R}))$  represented by pairs  $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1)$ , where  $\alpha^0$  is a  $k$ -form on  $N$  and  $\alpha^1$  is a  $(k-1)$ -form on  $N$ . This correspondence relates the de Rham differential on  $M$  with deformed Lie algebroid differential associated with the Schouten-Jacobi bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_M^1$  (see [IM2, GM1]).

Note that the Grassmann algebra  $\text{Sec}(\wedge TM)$  can be viewed as the algebra of functions on the supermanifold  $\Pi T^*M$  (the space of the cotangent bundle to  $M$  with reversed parity of fibers, cf. [AKSZ]) the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on  $\text{Sec}(\wedge TM)$  represents the canonical (super) Poisson bracket on  $\Pi T^*M$ . In this picture, the equation  $[\Lambda, \Lambda]_M = 0$  for a Poisson tensor  $\Lambda$  is just a particular case of the Master Equation in Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism. The algebraic structure of Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism in field theories (see [Ge]) have been recognized as a homologic vector field generating a Schouten-Nijenhuis-type bracket on the corresponding graded commutative algebra like the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (Gerstenhaber algebra) of a Lie algebroid [KoS, KS2]. The Schouten-Jacobi bracket can be regarded as a super-Jacobi bracket, so Theorem 3.11 can be understood as a super or fermionic version of the original result [DLM]. Note also that higher-order tensors represent higher-order operations on the ring of functions. Together with the Schouten-Nijenhuis or Schouten-Jacobi bracket, possibly for higher gradings, this can be a starting point for certain strongly homotopy algebras (cf. the paper [St] by J. Stasheff who realized that homotopy algebras appear in string field theory). A relation of some strongly homotopy algebras with Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism was discovered by B. Zwiebach and applied to string field theory [Zw]. Theorem 3.11 means that in homogeneous cases we can reduce the structure to the same super Lie bracket on a smaller manifold. The difference is that we deal not with derivations but with first-order differential operators. The structure of the associative product is deformed by this bracket isomorphism, so we get not a super Poisson but a super Jacobi bracket. On the level of differential forms this corresponds to a deformation of the de Rham differential of the type  $d^1\mu = d\mu + \phi \wedge \mu$ , where  $\phi$  is a closed 1-form. This is exactly what was already considered by E. Witten [Wi] and used in studying of spectra of Laplace operators.

## 2 Graded Lie brackets

In this section we will recall several natural graded Lie brackets of tensor fields associated with any smooth manifold  $M$ . First of all, on the tangent bundle  $TM$ , we have a Lie algebroid bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  defined on the space  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  of vector fields – derivations of the algebra  $C^\infty(M)$  of smooth functions on  $M$ .

If  $A(M) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^k(M)$  is the space of multivector fields (i.e.,  $A^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k TM)$ ) then we can define the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (see [Sc, Ni])  $[\cdot, \cdot]_M : A^p(M) \times A^q(M) \rightarrow A^{p+q-1}(M)$  as the unique graded extension to  $A(M)$  of the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  of vector fields, such that:

- i)  $[X, f]_M = X(f)$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ;
- ii)  $[P, Q]_M = -(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[Q, P]_M$ , for  $P \in A^p(M)$ ,  $Q \in A^q(M)$ .
- iii)  $[P, Q \wedge R]_M = [P, Q]_M \wedge R + (-1)^{(p-1)q}Q \wedge [P, R]_M$ , for  $P \in A^p(M)$ ,  $Q \in A^q(M)$  and  $R \in A^*(M)$ ;
- iv)  $(-1)^{(p-1)(r-1)}[[[P, Q]_M, R]_M + (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[[[Q, R]_M, P]_M + (-1)^{(q-1)(r-1)}[[[R, P]_M, Q]_M] = 0$ , for  $P \in A^p(M)$ ,  $Q \in A^q(M)$  and  $R \in A^r(M)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\Omega(M) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega^k(M)$  is the space of differential forms (that is,  $\Omega^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k(T^*M))$ ) we can consider the usual differential  $d_M : \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M)$  as the map characterized by the following properties:

- (i)  $d_M$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map.
- (ii)  $d_M(f)$  is the usual differential of  $f$ , for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .
- (iii)  $d_M(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d_M\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p\alpha \wedge d_M\beta$ , for  $\alpha \in \Omega^p(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega^q(M)$ .
- (iv)  $d_M^2 = 0$ , that is,  $d_M$  is a cohomology operator.

In a similar way, on the bundle of first-order differential operators on  $C^\infty(M)$ ,  $T^1M = TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a Lie algebroid bracket given by

$$[[X \oplus f, Y \oplus g]]_M^1 = [X, Y] \oplus (X(g) - Y(f)), \quad (2.1)$$

for  $X \oplus f, Y \oplus g \in \text{Sec}(T^1M)$  (see [M, N]).

The space  $D^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k(T^1M))$  of sections of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1M) \rightarrow M$  can be identified with  $A^k(M) \oplus A^{k-1}(M)$  in the following way. If  $I_M = 0 \oplus 1_M \in \text{Sec}(T^1M)$  and  $\phi_M \in \text{Sec}((T^1M)^*)$  is the “canonical closed 1-form” defined by  $\phi_M(X \oplus f) = f$ , then there exists an isomorphism between  $D^k(M)$  and  $A^k(M) \oplus A^{k-1}(M)$  given by the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} D^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k(T^1M)) &\rightarrow A^k(M) \oplus A^{k-1}(M) \\ D &\mapsto D^0 \oplus D^1 \cong D^0 + I_M \wedge D^1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D^1 = i_{\phi_M} D$  and  $D^0 = D - I_M \wedge D^1$ .

As for  $A(M)$ , we can define on  $D(M) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D^k(M)$  a canonical Schouten-Jacobi bracket  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]_M^1 : D^k(M) \times D^r(M) \rightarrow D^{k+r-1}(M)$  (see [GM1, IM2])

$$\begin{aligned} [[P^0 + I_M \wedge P^1, Q^0 + I_M \wedge Q^1]]_M^1 &= \\ & [[P^0, Q^0]]_M + (k-1)P^0 \wedge Q^1 + (-1)^k(r-1)P^1 \wedge Q^0 \\ & + I_M \wedge ([P^1, Q^0]_M - (-1)^k[P^0, Q^1]_M + (k-r)P^1 \wedge Q^1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for  $P = P^0 + I_M \wedge P^1 \in D^k(M)$  and  $Q = Q^0 + I_M \wedge Q^1 \in D^r(M)$ . The bracket  $[[\cdot, \cdot]]_M^1$  is the unique graded bracket characterized by:

- i) It extends the Lie bracket on  $D^1(M)$  defined by (2.1);
- ii)  $[[X \oplus f, g]]_M^1 = X(g) + fg$ , for  $X \oplus f \in D^1(M)$  and  $g \in C^\infty(M)$ ;
- iii)  $[[D, E]]_M^1 = -(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[[E, D]]_M$ , for  $D \in A^p(M)$ ,  $E \in A^q(M)$ .
- iv)  $[[D, E \wedge F]]^1 = [[D, E]]_M^1 \wedge F + (-1)^{(p-1)q}E \wedge [[D, F]]_M^1 - (i_{\phi_M} D) \wedge E \wedge F$ ,

for  $D \in D^p(M)$ ,  $E \in D^q(M)$  and  $F \in D^*(M)$ ;

- v)  $(-1)^{(p-1)(r-1)}[[[D, E]]_M^1, F]]_M^1 + (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)}[[[E, F]]_M^1, D]]_M^1 + (-1)^{(q-1)(r-1)}[[[F, D]]_M^1, E]]_M^1 = 0$ , for  $D \in D^p(M)$ ,  $E \in D^q(M)$  and  $F \in D^r(M)$ .

On the other hand, the space  $\Theta^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k(T^1M)^*)$  of sections of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1M)^* \rightarrow M$  can be identified with  $\Omega^k(M) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ . Actually, there exists an isomorphism between  $\Theta^k(M)$  and  $\Omega^k(M) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^k(M) = \text{Sec}(\wedge^k(T^1M)^*) &\rightarrow \Omega^k(M) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(M) \\ \alpha &\rightarrow \alpha^0 \oplus \alpha^1 \cong \alpha^0 + \phi_M \wedge \alpha^1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha^1 = i_{I_M} \alpha, \quad \alpha^0 = \alpha - \phi_M \wedge \alpha^1.$$

In other words,

$$\alpha(X_1 \oplus f_1, \dots, X_k \oplus f_k) = \alpha^0(X_1, \dots, X_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} f_i \alpha^1(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)$$

for  $X_1 \oplus f_1, \dots, X_k \oplus f_k \in \text{Sec}(T^1 M)$ .

As for  $\Omega(M)$ , we can define on  $\Theta(M) = \oplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Theta^k(M)$  the Jacobi differential  $d_M^1 : \Theta^k(M) \rightarrow \Theta^{k+1}(M)$  as the map characterized by the following properties:

- (i)  $d_M^1$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map.
- (ii) If  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $j^1 f \in \text{Sec}((T^1 M)^*)$  is the first jet prolongation of  $f$  then  $d_M^1 f = j^1 f$ .
- (iii)  $d_M^1(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d_M^1 \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d_M^1 \beta - \phi_M \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta$ , for  $\alpha \in \Theta^p(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Theta^q(M)$ .
- (iv)  $(d_M^1)^2 = 0$ , that is,  $d_M^1$  is a cohomology operator.

Under the isomorphism between  $\Theta^k(M)$  and  $\Omega^k(M) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  the operator  $d_M^1$  is given by

$$d_M^1(\alpha^0, \alpha^1) = (d_M \alpha^0, -d_M \alpha^1 + \alpha^0),$$

for  $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1) \in \Omega^k(M) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(M) \cong \Theta^k(M)$ .

To finish with this section, we recall that it is easy to identify  $P \in A^k(M)$  (resp.,  $D = D^0 + I_M \wedge D^1 \in D^k(M)$ ) with a polyderivation  $\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\}_P : C^\infty(M) \times \dots \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  (resp., a first-order polydifferential operator  $\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\}_D : C^\infty(M) \times \dots \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ ) given by

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P = \langle P, df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_k \rangle \quad (2.3)$$

(resp.,

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_D &= \langle D, j^1 f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge j^1 f_k \rangle = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_{D^0} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} f_i \{f_1, \dots, \hat{f}_i, \dots, f_k\}_{D^1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(M)$ . Note that  $(A(M), \llbracket, \rrbracket_M)$  is naturally embedded into  $(D(M), \llbracket, \rrbracket_M^1)$ . Actually, elements of  $(A(M), \llbracket, \rrbracket_M)$  are just those  $D \in (D(M), \llbracket, \rrbracket_M^1)$  for which  $i_{\phi_M} D = 0$ .

## 3 Homogeneous structures

### 3.1 Homogeneous tensors

In this Section we will consider a particular class of tensors related to a distinguished vector field on a manifold.

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold and let  $\Delta$  be a vector field on  $M$ . The pair  $(M, \Delta)$  will be called a *homogeneous structure*.

A function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\Delta(f) = n f$ . The space of  $\Delta$ -homogeneous functions of degree  $n$  will be denoted by  $S_\Delta^n(M)$ . Similarly, a tensor  $T$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  if  $\mathcal{L}_\Delta T = nT$ . Here  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lie derivative. In particular,  $\Delta$  itself is homogeneous of degree zero. As a result of properties of the Lie derivative we get the following properties of the introduced homogeneity gradation.

- (i) The tensor product  $T \otimes S$  of  $\Delta$ -homogeneous tensors of degrees  $n$  and  $m$  respectively, is homogeneous of degree  $n + m$ .
- (ii) The contraction of tensors of homogeneity degrees  $n$  and  $m$  is homogeneous of degree  $n + m$ .
- (iii) The exterior derivative preserves the homogeneity degree of forms.
- (iv) The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of multivector fields of homogeneity degrees  $n$  and  $m$  is homogeneous of degree  $n + m$ .

These properties justify our choice of the homogeneity gradation, which is compatible with the polynomial gradation introduced in [TU] and differs by a shift from homogeneity gradation of contravariant tensors in some other papers (e.g [Li]).

**Example 3.1** *i)* The simplest example of a homogeneous structure is the pair  $(N \times \mathbb{R}, \partial_s)$ , where  $\partial_s$  is the canonical vector field on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $(N \times \mathbb{R}, \partial_s)$  will be called a *free homogeneous structure*. In this case,

$$S_\Delta^n(M) = \{f \in C^\infty(N \times \mathbb{R}): f(x, s) = e^{ns} f_N(x), \text{ with } f_N \in C^\infty(N), \forall (x, s) \in N \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*ii)* Let  $M = N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta = s\partial_s$ ,  $s$  being the usual coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this case

$$S_\Delta^n(M) = \{f \in C^\infty(N \times \mathbb{R}): f(x, s) = s^n f_N(x), \text{ with } f_N \in C^\infty(N), \forall (x, s) \in N \times \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*iii)* If  $M = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta = s^2\partial_s$ , then  $S_\Delta^0(M) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $S_\Delta^n(M) = \{0\}$  for  $n \neq 0$  because the differential equation  $s^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = n f$  has no global smooth solutions on  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $n \neq 0$ .

Using coordinates adapted to the vector field, one can easily prove the following result.

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $(M, \Delta)$  be a homogeneous structure and  $N$  be a closed submanifold in  $M$  of codimension 1 such that  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$ . Then, there is a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of  $N$  in  $M$  and a diffeomorphism of  $U$  onto  $N \times \mathbb{R}$  which maps  $\Delta|_U$  into  $\partial_s$*

Let us introduce a particular class of homogeneous structures which will be important in the sequel.

**Definition 3.3** *A homogeneous structure  $(M, \Delta)$  is said to be strict if there is an open-dense subset  $O \subset M$  such that for  $x \in O$*

$$T_x^* M = \{df(x): f \in S_\Delta^1(M)\}.$$

**Example 3.4** *i)* It is almost trivial that free homogeneous structures are strict homogeneous.

*ii)* An example of a strict homogeneous structure with  $\Delta$  vanishing on a submanifold is the following. Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle (of rank  $> 0$ ) over  $M$  and let  $\Delta = \Delta_E$  be the *Liouville* vector field on  $E$ . Then, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $S_\Delta^n(E)$  consists of smooth functions on  $E$  which are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  along fibres. In particular, functions from  $S_\Delta^1(E)$  are linear on fibres, hence generate  $T^*E$  over  $E_0$ , the bundle  $E$  with the zero-section removed.

Now, generalizing the situation for tensors, we will consider first-order polydifferential operators.

For a homogeneous structure  $(M, \Delta)$ , we say that  $D \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  if  $[\Delta, D]_M^1 = nD$ . For  $P \in A^k(M)$  interpreted as an element of  $D(M)$ , it is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  when  $[\Delta, P]_M = \mathcal{L}_\Delta P = nP$ , i.e. the introduced gradation is compatible with the gradation for tensors. It is easy to see, using (2.2), that  $P = P^0 + I_M \wedge P^1 \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  if and only if  $P^0 \in A^k(M)$  and  $P^1 \in A^{k-1}(M)$  are  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$ . In particular, the identity operator is homogeneous of degree zero.

Elements of  $D^k(M)$  which are  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $1 - k$  we will call simply  $\Delta$ -homogeneous.

**Proposition 3.5** *Suppose that  $D \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  and suppose that  $D' \in D^{k'}(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n'$ . Then,*

- i)  $D \wedge D'$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n + n'$ .
- ii)  $[\Delta, D']_M^1$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n + n'$ .

**Proof.-** These properties are immediate consequences of properties of the Schouten-Jacobi bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_M^1$  (see Section 2) and the fact that  $i_{\phi_M} \Delta = 0$ .  $\square$

We can characterize homogeneous operators for strict homogeneous structures in terms of the corresponding  $k$ -ary brackets as follows.

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $(M, \Delta)$  be a strict homogeneous structure. Then,*

- i)  $P \in A^k(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  if and only if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n + k$ , for all  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_\Delta^1(M)$ , where  $\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\}_P$  is the bracket defined as in (2.3).
- ii)  $D \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$  if and only if  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_D$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous of degree  $n + \deg(f_1) + \dots + \deg(f_k)$ , for all  $\Delta$ -homogeneous functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  of degree 1 or 0.

**Proof.-** i) follows from the identity

$$\Delta(\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P) = \langle [\Delta, P]_M, df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_k \rangle + \langle P, \mathcal{L}_\Delta(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_k) \rangle,$$

for  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(M)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the usual Lie derivative operator, and the fact that  $df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_k$ , with  $\Delta$ -linear functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ , generate  $\wedge^k T^*M$  over an open-dense subset.

The proof of ii) is analogous.  $\square$

Next, we will consider the particular case when  $\Delta$  is the Liouville vector field  $\Delta_E$  on a vector bundle  $E$ . We recall that, in such a case,  $S_{\Delta_E}^1(E)$  is the space of linear functions on  $E$  and  $S_{\Delta_E}^0(E)$  is the space of basic functions on  $E$  (see Example 3.4).

**Corollary 3.7** *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle over  $M$ ,  $\Delta_E$  be the Liouville vector field on  $E$  and  $(E, \Delta_E)$  be the corresponding strict homogeneous structure. Then:*

- (i)  $P \in A^k(E)$  is  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous if and only if  $P$  is linear, that is,

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E), \text{ for } f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E). \quad (3.1)$$

(ii)  $D \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_D &\in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E), & \text{for } f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E), \\ \{1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}_D &\in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E), & \text{for } f_2, \dots, f_k \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.-** (i) follows from Proposition 3.6.

On the other hand, if  $D \in D^k(M)$  is  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous then, using again Proposition 3.6, we deduce that (3.2) holds.

Conversely, suppose that (3.2) holds.

Then, if  $f_1^0 \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E)$  and  $f_1^1, \dots, f_k^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E)$ , we have that

$$S_{\Delta_E}^1(E) \ni \{f_1^0 f_1^1, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = f_1^0 \{f_1^1, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D + f_1^1 \{f_1^0, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D - f_1^0 f_1^1 \{1, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D.$$

This implies that

$$f_1^1 \{f_1^0, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E), \quad \forall f_1^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E).$$

Thus,

$$\{f_1^0, f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E), \quad \text{for } f_1^0 \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E) \text{ and } f_2^1, \dots, f_k^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E). \quad (3.3)$$

Now, we will see that

$$\{1, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = 0, \quad \text{for } f_2^0 \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E) \text{ and } f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E). \quad (3.4)$$

If  $f_2^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E)$ , we obtain that

$$S_{\Delta_E}^0(E) \ni \{1, f_2^0 f_2^1, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = f_2^0 \{1, f_2^1, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D + f_2^1 \{1, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D.$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$f_2^1 \{1, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E), \quad \forall f_2^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E),$$

and, consequently,

$$\{1, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = 0.$$

Next, we will prove that

$$\{f_1^0, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = 0, \quad \text{for } f_1^0, f_2^0 \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E) \text{ and } f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E). \quad (3.5)$$

If  $f_2^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E)$  then, using (3.3) and (3.4), we have that

$$S_{\Delta_E}^0(E) \ni \{f_1^0, f_2^0 f_2^1, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = f_2^0 \{f_1^0, f_2^1, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D + f_2^1 \{f_1^0, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D.$$

This implies that

$$f_2^1 \{f_1^0, f_2^0, f_3^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E), \quad \forall f_2^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E),$$

and thus (3.5) holds.

Proceeding as above, we also may deduce that

$$\{f_1^0, \dots, f_r^0, f_{r+1}^1, \dots, f_k^1\}_D = 0,$$

for  $f_1^0, \dots, f_r^0 \in S_{\Delta_E}^0(E)$  and  $f_{r+1}^1, \dots, f_k^1 \in S_{\Delta_E}^1(E)$ , with  $2 \leq r \leq k$ .

Therefore,  $D$  is  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous (see Proposition 3.6).  $\square$



**Remark 3.8** We remark that Poisson (Jacobi) structures which are homogeneous with respect to the Liouville vector field of a vector bundle play an important role in the study of mechanical systems. Some examples of these structures are the following: the canonical symplectic structure on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  of a manifold  $M$ , the Lie-Poisson structure on the dual space of a real Lie algebra of finite dimension, and the canonical contact structure on the product manifold  $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$  (for more details, see [IM1]).

## 3.2 Poisson-Jacobi reductive structures

**Definition 3.9** A Poisson-Jacobi (PJ) reductive structure is a triple  $(M, N, \Delta)$ , where  $(M, \Delta)$  is a homogeneous structure and  $N$  is a 1-codimensional closed submanifold of  $M$  such that  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$ .

From Proposition 3.2, we deduce the following result.

**Proposition 3.10** Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure. Then, there is a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of  $N$  in  $M$  such that  $(U, N, \Delta|_U)$  is diffeomorphically equivalent to the free PJ reductive structure  $(N \times \mathbb{R}, N, \partial_s)$ .

Now, we pass to the main result of the paper.

Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure. Let us consider a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of  $N$ , like in Proposition 3.8. There is the unique function  $\tilde{1}_N \in S_\Delta^1(U)$  such that  $(\tilde{1}_N)|_N \equiv 1$ . Under the diffeomorphism between  $U$  and  $N \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tilde{1}_N$  is the positive function on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$

$$(x, s) \in N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow e^s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the foliation defined as the level sets of this function and by  $A(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $D(\mathcal{F})$  the spaces of elements of  $A(U)$ ,  $D(U)$  which are tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here we call  $P \in A^k(U)$  tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $P_x \in \wedge^k T_x \mathcal{F}_x$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  containing  $x \in U$ . Consequently,  $P^0 + I_U \wedge P^1 \in D^k(U)$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $P^0 \in A^k(U)$  and  $P^1 \in A^{k-1}(U)$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

It is obvious that any  $P \in A^k(U)$  has a unique decomposition  $P = P_{\mathcal{F}}^0 + \Delta|_U \wedge P_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{F}}^0 \in A^k(\mathcal{F})$  and  $P_{\mathcal{F}}^1 \in A^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})$ . We can use this decomposition to define, for each  $P \in A^k(U)$ , operators  $J(P) \in D^k(U)$  and  $J_N(P) \in D^k(N)$  by the formulae

$$J(P) = P_{\mathcal{F}}^0 + I_U \wedge P_{\mathcal{F}}^1$$

and

$$J_N(P) = J(P)|_N.$$

**Theorem 3.11** Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure and let  $U$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $N$  in  $M$  as in Proposition 3.10. Then:

- i) The mapping  $J$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous multivector fields on  $U$  and  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous first-order polydifferential operators on  $U$  which are tangent to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ ;

ii) The mapping  $J_N$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous multivector fields on  $U$  and first-order polydifferential operators on  $N$ .

Moreover,

- (a)  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_{J(P)}$  and  $(\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P)|_N = \{f_1|_N, \dots, f_k|_N\}_{J_N(P)}$
- (b)  $\llbracket J(P), J(Q) \rrbracket_U^1 = J(\llbracket P, Q \rrbracket_U)$  and  $\llbracket J_N(P), J_N(Q) \rrbracket_N^1 = J_N(\llbracket P, Q \rrbracket_U)$ ,

for all  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in S_\Delta^1(U)$  and  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous tensors  $P, Q \in A(U)$ .

**Proof.-** The tensors  $J(P)$  and  $J_N(P)$  clearly satisfy (a).

Note that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\Delta$ -invariant, since  $\tilde{I}_N$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous. This implies that  $\llbracket \Delta, A(\mathcal{F}) \rrbracket_M \subset A(\mathcal{F})$ , so that  $\llbracket \Delta|_U, P_{\mathcal{F}}^0 \rrbracket_U + \Delta|_U \wedge \llbracket \Delta|_U, P_{\mathcal{F}}^1 \rrbracket_U$  is the decomposition of  $\llbracket \Delta|_U, P \rrbracket_U$  for each tensor  $P = P_{\mathcal{F}}^0 + \Delta|_U \wedge P_{\mathcal{F}}^1 \in A^k(U)$ . This means that if  $P$  is  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous then  $J(P)$  is also  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous. Conversely, for a pair  $P^0 \in A^k(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $P^1 \in A^{k-1}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous of degree  $1 - k$ , the operator  $P = P^0 + \Delta|_U \wedge P^1$  is  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous. Thus,  $J$  is bijective.

Now, due to the fact that for homogeneous  $P$ ,  $\llbracket \Delta|_U, P \rrbracket_U = (1 - k)P = \llbracket I_U, P \rrbracket_U^1$ , we get by direct calculations using the properties of the Schouten-Jacobi bracket that (b) is satisfied.

To prove (ii) we notice first that for a  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous  $P$ , the operator  $(\tilde{I}_N)^{k-1}J(P)$  is homogeneous of degree zero, i.e. it is  $\Delta|_U$ -invariant. It follows that  $(\tilde{I}_N)^{k-1}J(P)$  and  $J(P)$  are uniquely determined by  $J_N(P)$ . To show that  $J_N$  is surjective, let us take  $D_N = P_N^0 + I_N \wedge P_N^1 \in D^k(N)$ . There are unique  $\bar{P}^0 \in A^k(U)$ ,  $\bar{P}^1 \in A^{k-1}(U)$  which are  $\Delta|_U$ -invariant and equal to  $P_N^0$  and  $P_N^1$ , respectively, when restricted to  $N$ . We just use the flow of  $\Delta|_U$  to extend tensors on  $N$  to  $\Delta|_U$ -invariant tensors on  $U$ . Then  $\tilde{P}^0 = (\tilde{I}_N)^{1-k}\bar{P}^0$  and  $\tilde{P}^1 = (\tilde{I}_N)^{1-k}\bar{P}^1$  give rise to a  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous tensor  $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}^0 + \Delta|_U \wedge \tilde{P}^1$ , with  $J_N(\tilde{P}) = D_N$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.12** i) The above result is a generalization of the main theorem in [DLM] which states that  $\Delta$ -homogeneous Poisson tensors on  $M$  can be reduced to Jacobi structures on  $N$ . Indeed if  $\Lambda$  is Poisson, then  $\llbracket \Lambda, \Lambda \rrbracket_U = 0$ , so  $\llbracket J_N(\Lambda), J_N(\Lambda) \rrbracket_N^1 = 0$  which exactly means that  $J_N(\Lambda)$  is a Jacobi structure on  $N$  (see [GM1, IM2]). Actually, it is a sort of a super-Poissonization. Indeed, the Nijenhuis-Schouten bracket  $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_M$  on  $M$  is a graded (or super) Poisson bracket, while the Schouten-Jacobi bracket  $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_M^1$  on  $N$  is a graded (or super) Jacobi bracket (cf. [GM2]).

ii) We call this construction a Poisson-Jacobi reduction, since it is a half way of the Poisson-Poisson reduction in the case when  $\Gamma = i_{\phi_N}J_N(\Lambda)$  is the vector field on  $N$  whose orbits have a manifold structure. Then, the bracket  $\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\}_{J_N(\Lambda)}$  restricted to functions which are constant on orbits of  $\Gamma$  gives a Poisson bracket on  $N/\Gamma$ . In the case when  $M$  is symplectic, the Poisson structure on  $N/\Gamma$  obtained in this way is the standard symplectic reduction of the Poisson structure associated with a symplectic form  $\Omega$  on  $M$  with respect to the coisotropic submanifold  $N$ . An explicit example of the above construction is the following one. Suppose that the manifold  $M$  is  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , the submanifold  $N$  is the unit sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and the vector field  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (q^i \partial_{q^i} + p_i \partial_{p_i}),$$

where  $(q^i, p_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$  are the usual coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . It is clear that  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$ . Actually, the map

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\} \rightarrow S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad x \rightarrow \left( \frac{x}{\|x\|}, \ln \|x\|^2 \right)$$

is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\}$  onto  $S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R} = N \times \mathbb{R}$  which maps  $\Delta_{|\mathbb{R}^{2n}-\{0\}}$  into  $\partial_s$ . Thus, we will take as a tubular neighborhood of  $N = S^{2n-1}$  in  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  the open subset  $U = \mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\}$ . Now, let  $\Lambda$  be the 2-vector on  $M$  defined by

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{q^i} \wedge \partial_{p_i}).$$

$\Lambda$  is the Poisson structure associated with the canonical symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  on  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  given by  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dq^i \wedge dp_i$ .

A direct computation proves that  $\Lambda|_U$  is a  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous Poisson structure. Therefore, it induces a Jacobi structure  $J_N(\Lambda|_U)$  on  $N = S^{2n-1}$ . Note that  $J_N(\Lambda|_U)$  is just the Jacobi structure associated with the canonical contact 1-form  $\eta$  on  $S^{2n-1}$  defined by

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} j^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (q^i dp_i - p_i dq^i) \right),$$

where  $j : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is the canonical inclusion (for the definition of the Jacobi structure associated with a contact 1-form, see, for instance, [ChLM]). This Poisson-Jacobi reduction can be associated also with a reduction with respect to a Hamiltonian action of  $S^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Indeed, consider the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n ((q^i)^2 + (p_i)^2)$$

and the Hamiltonian vector field  $\mathcal{H}_H^\Lambda = i_{dH}(\Lambda)$  of  $H$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_H^\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \partial_{q^i} - q^i \partial_{p_i}).$$

The orbit of  $\mathcal{H}_H^\Lambda$  passing through  $(q^i, p_i)$  is the curve  $\alpha_{(q^i, p_i)} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{(q^i, p_i)}(t) &= (q^1 \cos t + p_1 \sin t, \dots, q^n \cos t + p_n \sin t, \\ &\quad p_1 \cos t - q^1 \sin t, \dots, p_n \cos t - q^n \sin t). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\alpha_{(q^i, p_i)}$  is periodic with period  $2\pi$  which implies that the flow of  $\mathcal{H}_H^\Lambda$  defines a symplectic action of  $S^1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with the momentum map given by  $H$ . Moreover, the restriction  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{H}_H^\Lambda$  to  $S^{2n-1}$  is tangent to  $S^{2n-1}$  and  $\Gamma$  is a regular vector field on  $S^{2n-1}$ , that is, the space of orbits of  $\Gamma$ ,  $S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$ , has a manifold structure and, thus,  $S^{2n-1}/\Gamma \cong S^{2n-1}/S^1$  is a symplectic manifold. Actually, the reduced symplectic space  $S^{2n-1}/S^1$  is the complex projective space with the standard symplectic structure.

iii) We call the inverse of the map  $P \mapsto J_N(P) = D_N$  the *Poissonization* of  $D_N \in D^k(N)$ . This map is a homomorphism of the Schouten-Jacobi bracket on  $D(N)$  into the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of  $\Delta$ -homogeneous multivector fields in a neighborhood of  $N$  in  $M$ . In particular, it maps Jacobi structures into Poisson structures. For free PJ reductive structures we get, like in [DLM] for the case  $k = 2$ , that the Poissonization of  $D_N = P_N^0 + I_N \wedge P_N^1$  is  $e^{(1-k)s}(P_N^0 + \partial_s \wedge P_N^1)$  on  $N \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Using Theorem 3.11 and generalizing Remark 3.12 *i*), we have the following result which relates homogeneous Nambu-Poisson tensors on  $M$  to Nambu-Jacobi tensors on  $N$  (see [MVV, T] for the definition of a Nambu-Poisson and a Nambu-Jacobi tensor).

**Corollary 3.13** *Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure. For a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of  $N$  in  $M$  there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous Nambu-Poisson tensors on  $M$  and Nambu-Jacobi tensors on  $N$ .*

**Proof.-** We know that a tensor  $P \in A^k(M)$  on a manifold  $M$  is Nambu-Poisson if and only if

$$[[[\dots [[P, f_1]_M, f_2]_M, \dots, f_{k-1}]_M, P]_M = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

for  $f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} \in C^\infty(M)$  and that  $D \in D^k(M)$  is a Nambu-Jacobi structure on  $M$  if and only if

$$[[[\dots [[D, f_1]_M^1, f_2]_M^1, \dots, f_{k-1}]_M^1, D]_M^1 = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

for  $f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} \in C^\infty(M)$ .

Therefore, our result follows from (3.6), (3.7) and Theorem 3.11.  $\square$

The above result is local. We can get global results in particular classes. The following one has been proved in [GIMPU] for bivector fields by a different method.

**Theorem 3.14** *Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle of rank  $n$ ,  $n > 1$ , and let  $A$  be an affine hyperbundle of  $E$ , i.e. an affine subbundle of rank  $(n-1)$  and not intersecting the 0-section of  $E$ . Then, the association  $P \mapsto J_A(P)$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous tensors  $P \in A^k(E)$ , the vector field  $\Delta_E$  being the Liouville vector field, and those  $D_A \in D^k(A)$  which are affine, i.e. such that  $\{h_1, \dots, h_k\}_{D_A}$  is affine whenever  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  are affine (along fibers) functions on  $A$ . Moreover, for this correspondence,*

$$[J_A(P), J_A(Q)]_A^1 = J_A([P, Q]_E). \quad (3.8)$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.14.-** The Liouville vector field  $\Delta_E$  is clearly transversal to  $A$ , so the association  $P \mapsto J_A(P)$  satisfies

$$(\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}_P)|_A = \{f_1|_A, \dots, f_k|_A\}_{J_A(P)}$$

and (3.8) according to Theorem 3.11. The affine functions on  $A$  are exactly restrictions of linear functions on  $E$  (see the next Lemma 3.15), so  $J_A(P)$  is affine.

Conversely, according to Theorem 3.11, there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $E$  on which  $\Delta_E$  nowhere vanishes and a  $(\Delta_E)|_U$ -homogeneous  $k$ -vector field  $P_U$  on  $U$  such that  $D_A = J_A(P_U)$ . We will show that  $P_U$  is *linear*, i.e. that  $\{(f_1)|_U, \dots, (f_k)|_U\}_{P_U}$  is the restriction to  $U$  of a linear function on  $E$  for all linear functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  on  $E$ . In the case of a 0-tensor, i.e. a function  $f \in C^\infty(U)$ , this means that  $f$  is the restriction to  $U$  of a linear function on  $E$ .

Indeed, since by Theorem 3.11

$$(\{(f_1)|_U, \dots, (f_k)|_U\}_{P_U})|_A = \{f_1|_A, \dots, f_k|_A\}_{D_A},$$

the function  $\{(f_1)|_U, \dots, (f_k)|_U\}_{P_U}$  is  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous on  $U$  and its restriction to  $A$  is affine, thus it is the restriction to  $U$  of a linear function. Note that every affine function on  $A$  has a unique extension to a linear function on the whole  $E$  (see the next Lemma 3.15). Moreover, two  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous functions

$f$  and  $g$  on  $U$  which coincide on  $A$  must coincide on the  $\Delta_E$  orbits of points from  $A$  and, since  $A$  is an affine hyperbundle of  $E$  not intersecting the 0-section of  $E$ , we deduce that  $f = g$  on  $U$ .

What remains to be proven is that  $P_U$  has a unique extension to a  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous tensor on  $E$  that follows from the next Lemma 3.16.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.15** *Let  $E$  be a real vector bundle over  $M$  and  $A$  be an affine hyperbundle of  $E$  not intersecting the 0-section  $0 : M \rightarrow E$  of  $E$ . Suppose that  $A^+$  is the real vector bundle over  $M$  whose fiber at the point  $x \in M$  is the real vector space  $A_x^+ = \text{Aff}(A_x, \mathbb{R})$ , that is,  $A_x^+$  is the space of real affine functions on  $A_x$ . Then, the map  $R_A : E^* \rightarrow A^+$  defined by  $R_A(\alpha_x) = (\alpha_x)|_{A_x}$ , for  $\alpha_x \in E_x^*$  is an isomorphism of vector bundles.*

**Proof.-** Let  $x$  be a point of  $M$  and  $\alpha_x \in E_x^*$ . Then, it is easy to prove that  $R_A(\alpha_x) \in A_x^+$  and that the map  $(R_A)|_{E_x^*} : E_x^* \rightarrow A_x^+$  is linear. Moreover, if  $R_A(\alpha_x) = 0$ , we have that  $(\alpha_x)|_{A_x} = 0$  and, using that  $0(x) \notin A_x$ , we conclude that  $\alpha_x = 0$ . Thus,  $(R_A)|_{E_x^*}$  is injective and, since  $\dim E_x^* = \dim A_x^+ = n$ , we conclude that  $(R_A)|_{E_x^*} : E_x^* \rightarrow A_x^+$  is a linear isomorphism. This proves the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.16** *Let  $\tau : E \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle of rank  $n$ ,  $n > 1$ ,  $A$  be an affine hyperbundle of  $E$  not intersecting the 0-section of  $E$  and  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $A$  in  $E$ . If  $P$  is a linear-homogeneous  $k$ -contravariant tensor field on  $U$  then  $P$  has a unique extension to a  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneous (linear)  $k$ -contravariant tensor field  $\tilde{P}$  on  $E$ .*

**Proof.-** The statement is local in  $M$ , so let us choose local coordinates  $x = (x^a)$  in  $V \subset M$  and the adapted linear coordinates  $(x^a, \xi_i)$  on  $E|_V$ , associated with a choice of a basis of local sections of  $E|_V$ . In these coordinates, the tensor  $P$  can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P = & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}}^k(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\xi_{i_k}} + \\ & + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, a} f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-1}}, x^a}^{k-1}(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\xi_{i_{k-1}}} \otimes \partial_{x^a} + \\ & + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, a} f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-2}}, x^a, \xi_{i_{k-1}}}^{k-1}(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\xi_{i_{k-2}}} \otimes \partial_{x^a} \otimes \partial_{\xi_{i_{k-1}}} + \dots + \\ & + \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k} f_{x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_k}}^0(x, \xi) \partial_{x^{a_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{x^{a_k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By linearity of the tensor  $P$ ,  $\{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}\}_P = f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}}^k(x, \xi)$  is linear in  $\xi$ , so it can be extended uniquely to a linear function on the whole  $E|_V$ . Similarly, proceeding by induction with respect to  $m$  one can show that the linearity of

$$\{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, x^{a_1} \cdot \xi_{j_1}, \dots, x^{a_m} \cdot \xi_{j_m}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-m}}\}_P$$

implies that

$$f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_m}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-m}}}^{k-m}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi_{j_1} \dots \xi_{j_m} \quad (3.10)$$

is linear for all  $j_1, \dots, j_m$ . Once we know that (3.10) are linear, it is easy to see that

$$f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, x^{a_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-1}}}^{k-1}(x, \xi) \quad (3.11)$$

is constant on fibers, so it extends uniquely to a function which is constant on the fibers of  $E|_V$ . On the other hand, since  $n > 1$  and  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $A$  in  $E$ , there exist  $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$U \cap \{\xi_{i_k} = 0\} \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Using this fact and the linearity of (3.10), we deduce that

$$f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_m}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-m}}}^{k-m}(x, \xi) = 0, \quad \text{for } m > 1.$$

Note that if  $\text{rank}(E) = 1$ , we have that  $\xi_{i_l} = \xi$  and there is another possibility, namely

$$f_{\xi_{i_1}, \dots, x^{a_1}, \dots, x^{a_m}, \dots, \xi_{i_{k-m}}}^{k-m}(x, \xi) = g(x)\xi^{1-m},$$

which clearly does not prolong onto  $E|_V$  analytically along fibers. Now we define the prolongation  $\tilde{P}_V$  of  $P$  to  $E|_V$  by the formula (3.9) but with the prolonged coefficients. It is obvious that this constructed prolongation  $\tilde{P}_V$  of  $P$  to  $E|_V$  is homogeneous. By uniqueness of this homogeneous prolongation on every  $E|_V$  for  $V$  running through an open covering of  $M$ , we get a unique homogeneous prolongation to the whole  $E$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.17** The linearity cannot be replaced by  $\Delta_E$ -homogeneity in the above lemma. The simplest counterexample is just the function  $f(x) = |x|$  which is  $x\partial_x$ -homogeneous on  $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  but it is not linear on  $U$ .

Finally, we will prove a dual version of Theorem 3.11.

Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure and let  $U$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $N$  in  $M$  as in Proposition 3.10. The space of sections of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1U)^* \rightarrow U$  (respectively,  $\wedge^k(T^1N)^* \rightarrow N$ ) is  $\Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(U)$  (respectively,  $\Omega^k(N) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(N)$ ) and it is obvious that any  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(U)$  has a unique decomposition

$$\alpha = \tilde{1}_N(\alpha^0 + d(\ln \tilde{1}_N) \wedge \alpha^1), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1) \in \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(U)$  and

$$i_{\Delta|U}\alpha^0 = 0, \quad i_{\Delta|U}\alpha^1 = 0.$$

Indeed, since  $i_{\Delta|U}d(\ln \tilde{1}_N) = 1$ , the form  $\alpha^1$  is defined by  $\alpha^1 = (\tilde{1}_N)^{-1}i_{\Delta|U}\alpha$  and  $\alpha^0 = (\tilde{1}_N)^{-1}\alpha - d(\ln \tilde{1}_N) \wedge \alpha^1$ . We can use this decomposition to define, for each  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(U)$ , a section  $\Psi(\alpha)$  of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1U)^* \rightarrow U$  by the formula

$$\Psi(\alpha) = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1).$$

On the other hand, a section  $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1) \in \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(U)$  is said to be  $\Delta|U$ -basic if  $\alpha^0$  and  $\alpha^1$  are basic forms with respect to  $\Delta|U$ , that is,

$$i_{\Delta|U}\alpha^0 = 0, \quad i_{\Delta|U}\alpha^1 = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Delta|U}\alpha^0 = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Delta|U}\alpha^1 = 0.$$

In addition, we will denote by  $j : N \rightarrow U$  the canonical inclusion and by  $\Psi_N : \Omega^k(U) \rightarrow \Omega^k(N) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(N)$  the map defined by

$$\Psi_N(\alpha) = (\alpha_N^0, \alpha_N^1), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Omega^k(U),$$

where  $\alpha_N^0 = j^*(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha_N^1 = j^*(i_{\Delta|U}\alpha)$ . On the other hand, from (3.12), it follows that

$$j^*\alpha = j^*\alpha^0, \quad j^*(i_{\Delta|U}\alpha) = j^*\alpha^1, \quad (3.13)$$

(note that  $j^*(\tilde{1}_N)$  is the constant function 1 on  $N$ ), so  $\alpha_N^0 = j^*(\alpha^0)$  and  $\alpha_N^1 = j^*(\alpha^1)$ .

**Theorem 3.18** *Let  $(M, N, \Delta)$  be a PJ reductive structure and let  $U$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $N$  in  $M$  as in Proposition 3.10. Then:*

- (i) *The map  $\Psi$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between the space of  $k$ -forms on  $U$  which are  $\Delta_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 and the space of sections of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1U)^* \rightarrow U$  which are  $\Delta_U$ -basic.*
- (ii) *The map  $\Psi_N$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between the space of  $k$ -forms on  $U$  which are  $\Delta_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 and the space of sections of the vector bundle  $\wedge^k(T^1N)^* \rightarrow N$ , that is,  $\Omega^k(N) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(N)$ .*

*Moreover, if  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(U)$  is  $\Delta_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 then*

$$\Psi(d_U\alpha) = d_U^1(\Psi\alpha), \quad \Psi_N(d_U\alpha) = d_N^1(\Psi_N\alpha),$$

*where  $d_U$  is the usual exterior differential on  $U$  and  $d_U^1$  (respectively,  $d_N^1$ ) is the Jacobi differential on  $U$  (respectively,  $N$ ).*

**Proof.-** Let  $\alpha$  be a  $k$ -form on  $U$ ,

$$\alpha = \tilde{I}_N(\alpha^0 + d(\ln \tilde{I}_N) \wedge \alpha^1), \quad (3.14)$$

with  $(\alpha^0, \alpha^1) \in \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^{k-1}(U)$  satisfying  $i_{\Delta_U}\alpha^0 = 0$  and  $i_{\Delta_U}\alpha^1 = 0$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha = \alpha + \tilde{I}_N(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^0 + d(\ln \tilde{I}_N) \wedge \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^1).$$

Thus, since  $i_{\Delta_U}(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^0) = 0$  and  $i_{\Delta_U}(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^1) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\alpha$  is  $\Delta_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 if and only if  $\alpha^0$  and  $\alpha^1$  are  $\Delta_U$ -basic. This proves (i).

Since

$$j^*\alpha = j^*\alpha^0, \quad j^*(i_{\Delta_U}\alpha) = j^*\alpha^1,$$

using (i) and the fact that the map  $j^* : \Omega^r(U) \rightarrow \Omega^r(N)$  defines a one-to-one correspondence between the space of  $\Delta_U$ -basic  $r$ -forms on  $U$  and  $\Omega^r(N)$ , we deduce (ii).

Finally, if  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(U)$  is  $\Delta_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 then, from (3.12), we obtain that

$$d_U\alpha = \tilde{I}_N(d_U\alpha^0 + d_U(\ln \tilde{I}_N) \wedge (\alpha^0 - d_U\alpha^1))$$

and, since

$$i_{\Delta_U}(d_U\alpha^0) = \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^0 = 0, \quad i_{\Delta_U}(\alpha^0 - d_U\alpha^1) = -\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_U}\alpha^1 = 0,$$

we conclude that (see (3.13))

$$\Psi(d_U\alpha) = (d_U\alpha^0, \alpha^0 - d_U\alpha^1) = d_U^1(\Psi\alpha),$$

$$\Psi_N(d_U\alpha) = (d_N(j^*(\alpha^0)), j^*(\alpha^0) - d_N(j^*(\alpha^1))) = d_N^1(\Psi_N\alpha).$$

□

Using Theorem 3.18, one may recover the following well-known result (see, for instance, [MS, Proposition 3.58]).

**Corollary 3.19** *If  $\omega$  is a  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous of degree 1 symplectic form on  $U$ , then  $\eta = \omega_N^1$  is a contact form on  $N$ . The Jacobi structure associated with  $\eta$  is  $J_N(\Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous Poisson tensor associated with  $\omega$ .*

**Proof.-** Since, according to Theorem 3.18,

$$0 = \Psi_N(d\omega) = d_N^1(\Psi_N\omega) = (d\omega_N^0, \omega_N^0 - d\omega_N^1),$$

we have

$$d\eta = d\omega_N^1 = \omega_N^0 = j^*\omega. \quad (3.15)$$

If the dimension of  $N$  is  $2k + 1$  then (3.15) implies

$$(d\eta)^{2k} \wedge \eta = j^*(\omega^{2k} \wedge i_{\Delta|_U}\omega) = \frac{1}{k+1} j^*(i_{\Delta|_U}\omega^{2(k+1)}).$$

But  $\omega^{2(k+1)} \neq 0$  on  $U$  (the form  $\omega$  is symplectic) and  $\Delta$  is transversal to  $N$ , so  $j^*(i_{\Delta|_U}\omega^{2(k+1)}) \neq 0$ , thus  $(d\eta)^{2k} \wedge \eta \neq 0$  on  $N$  and, therefore,  $\eta$  is a contact 1-form on  $N$ . The contact form  $\eta$  induces an isomorphism of vector bundles  $b_\eta : TN \rightarrow T^*N$  which on sections takes the form

$$b_\eta(X) = \langle \eta, X \rangle \eta - i_X d\eta. \quad (3.16)$$

The Jacobi bracket  $\{f, g\}_\eta$  induced by  $\eta$  is given by  $\{f, g\}_\eta = \mathcal{H}_f^\eta(g) - g\Gamma(f)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_f^\eta$  is the ‘Hamiltonian vector field’ of  $f \in C^\infty(N)$  defined by

$$b_\eta(\mathcal{H}_f^\eta) = (df - \Gamma(f)\eta) + f\eta$$

and  $\Gamma$  is the Reeb vector field of  $\eta$  determined by  $b_\eta(\Gamma) = \eta$ , i.e.  $i_\Gamma d\eta = 0$  and  $\langle \eta, \Gamma \rangle = 1$ . Let  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\omega$  be the Poisson bracket induced by the symplectic form  $\omega$ . Due to Theorem 3.11, it remains to prove that  $\{f, g\}_\eta = (\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_\omega)|_N$ , where  $\tilde{f}$  denotes the unique extension of  $f \in C^\infty(N)$  to a  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous function on  $U$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega$  the Hamiltonian vector field of  $\tilde{f}$  with respect to  $\omega$ , i.e.  $-i_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega}\omega = d\tilde{f}$ . It is easy to see that the Reeb vector field of  $\eta$  is  $\tilde{\Gamma}|_N$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{1}_N}^\omega$ , and that  $\mathcal{H}_f^\eta = (\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega + \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f})\Delta|_U)|_N$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_f^\eta$  is the projection of  $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega$  along  $\Delta$  onto  $N$ . We have

$$\{f, g\}_\eta = \mathcal{H}_f^\eta(g) - g\Gamma(f) = ((\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega + \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f})\Delta|_U)(\tilde{g}))|_N - g\Gamma(f).$$

Since  $\Delta|_U(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{g}$ , it follows that

$$\{f, g\}_\eta = (\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{f}}^\omega(\tilde{g}))|_N = (\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_\omega)|_N.$$

□

**Remark 3.20** If  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $\Delta$  is the vector field on  $M$  defined by  $\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (q^i \partial_{q^i} + p_i \partial_{p_i})$ ,  $U$  is the open subset of  $M$  given by  $U = \mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\}$ ,  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n (dq^i \wedge dp_i)$  is the canonical  $\Delta|_U$ -homogeneous symplectic 2-form on  $U$  and  $N$  is the unit sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  then  $\eta$  is the canonical contact 1-form on  $S^{2n-1}$  (see Remark 3.12, ii)).

**Remark 3.21** A Poisson structure is a particular Lie algebra structure. A useful generalization of the latter in the graded case is a (*strongly*) *homotopy Lie algebra* (sh Lie algebra,  $L_\infty$ -algebra) which appeared in the works of J. Stasheff and his collaborators [LM, LS]. Very close algebraic structures arose in physics as *string products* of B. Zwiebach [Zw]. An algebraic background of a homotopy Lie algebra



on a graded vector space  $V$  is a graded Lie algebra structure on the graded space  $L(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L^n(V)$  of (skew-symmetric) multilinear maps from  $V$  into  $V$ . The corresponding graded Lie bracket on  $L(V)$  is actually a graded variant of the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]^{NR}$  and the homotopy Lie algebra on  $V$  is a formal series  $B = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n h^n$ ,  $B_n \in L^n(V)$  with coefficients which satisfies the ‘Master Equation’  $[\![B, B]\!]^{NR} = 0$ . One requires additionally that the degree of  $B_n$  is  $n - 2$ . Of course, when  $B$  reduces to  $B_2$ , i.e.  $B_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 2$ , we deal with a standard graded Lie bracket on  $V$  induced by  $B_2 : V \times V \rightarrow V$  of degree 0. When also  $B_1$  is non-trivial, the Jacobi identity for  $B_2$  is satisfied only ‘up to homotopy’. One can consider this general scheme skipping the assumption on the degree and one can work with any subalgebra of  $L(V)$ , also for non-graded  $V$ : we just consider the series  $B$  with coefficients in the Lie subalgebra of  $L(V)$  and satisfying the Master Equation. Of course, this general scheme has nothing to do with ‘homotopy’ in general, when no grading on  $V$  or not proper degree of  $B_n$  is assumed.

In our case of the Schouten-Nijenhuis and Schouten-Jacobi brackets, one can consider their homotopy generalizations which respect the homogeneity, like these brackets do, and obtain the corresponding Poisson-Jacobi reduction on the level of homotopy algebras, but the detailed discussion of these problems exceeds limits of this note and we postpone it to a separate paper.

What we can have for free is the above scheme for the non-graded case of  $V = C^\infty(M)$ . The spaces  $A^k(M)$  and  $D^k(M)$  can be interpreted as subspaces of  $L^n(V)$  and the brackets  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]_M$  and  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]_M^1$  are restrictions of  $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]^{NR}$  to  $A^k(M)$  and  $D^k(M)$ , respectively. A *formal Poisson* structure on  $M$  is a formal series  $B = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n h^n$ ,  $B_n \in A^n(M)$  such that  $[\![B, B]\!]_M = 0$ , where we use the obvious extension of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket to formal series of multivector fields:  $[\![B, B]\!]_M = \sum_{i,j} [\![B_i, B_j]\!]_M h^{i+j-1}$ . By properties of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, only the even part of  $B$  is relevant. If  $B_2$  is the only non-trivial part of  $B$ , we recognize a standard Poisson structure. If this is the case of  $B_{2k}$ , we recognize a *generalized Poisson structure* in the sense of Azcárraga, Perelomov, and Pérez Bueno [APP1, APP2] (see also [AIP]). Now, according to Theorem 3.11, if  $B$  is  $\Delta$ -homogeneous, we can reduce  $B$  to a *formal Jacobi* structure on the submanifold  $N$  by  $J_N(B) = \sum_{i \geq 0} J_N(B_{2i})$ , since

$$[\![J_N(B), J_N(B)]\!]_M^1 = J_N([\![B, B]\!]_M) = 0.$$

In particular, this reduces generalized Poisson structures on  $M$  to *generalized Jacobi structures* on  $N$ , defined in obvious way (see [P]). Note also that the corresponding operators  $\partial_B = ad_B$  and  $\partial_{J_N(B)} = ad_{J_N(B)}$  act as ‘homotopy differentials’ in the graded Lie algebras  $A^k(M)[[h]]$  and  $D^k(M)[[h]]$ , i.e.  $\partial_B^2 = 0$  and  $\partial_{J_N(B)}^2 = 0$ , generalizing the standard Poisson and Jacobi cohomology.

## References

- [AKSZ] M. Alexandrov; M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz, O. Zaboronsky: The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **12** (1997), no. 7, 1405–1429.
- [AIP] J. A. Azcárraga, J. M. Izquierdo, J. C. Pérez Bueno: *On the higher-order generalizations of Poisson structures*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997), L607–L616.
- [APP1] J. A. Azcárraga, A. M. Perelomov, J. C. Pérez Bueno: *New generalized Poisson structures*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** (1996), L151–L157.
- [APP2] J. A. Azcárraga, A. M. Perelomov, J. C. Pérez Bueno: *The Schouten-Nijenhuis brackets, cohomology, and generalized Poisson structures*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** (1996), 7993–8009.
- [ChLM] D. Chinea, M. de León, J.C. Marrero: *Prequantizable Poisson manifolds and Jacobi structures*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **29**, (1996), 6313–6324.

- [DLM] P. Dazord, A. Lichnerowicz, Ch.M. Marle: Structure locale des variétés de Jacobi, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **70** (1991), 101-152.
- [Ge] E. Getzler: Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **159** (1994), no. 2, 265–285.
- [GIMPU] J. Grabowski, D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero, E. Padrón, P. Urbanski: Affine Jacobi structures on vector and affine bundles, *Preprint (2002)*, *arXiv: math.DG/0212052*.
- [GM1] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo: Jacobi structures revisited, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **34** (2001), 10975-10990.
- [GM2] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo: The graded Jacobi algebras and (co)homology, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **36** (2003), 161-181.
- [IM1] D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero: Some linear Jacobi structures on vector bundles, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **331** Sér. I (2000), 125-130.
- [IM2] D. Iglesias, J.C. Marrero: Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **40** (2001), 176-199.
- [KoS] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach: Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **41** (1995), 153–165.
- [KS2] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach: From Poisson algebras to Gerstenhaber algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **46** (1996), no. 5, 1243–1274.
- [LM] T. Lada, M. Markl: Strongly homotopy Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 2147–2161.
- [LS] T. Lada, J. Stasheff: Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **32** (1993), 1087–1103.
- [Li] P. Libermann: On Liouville forms, in: *Poisson Geometry*, J. Grabowski and P. Urbański, eds., Banach Center Publications **51**, Warsaw 2000, 151–164.
- [L1] A. Lichnerowicz: Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées, *J. Differential Geometry*, **12** (1977), 253-300.
- [L2] A. Lichnerowicz: Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associées, *J. Math. pures et appl.*, **57** (1978), 453-488.
- [M] K. Mackenzie: *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [MVV] G. Marmo, G. Vilasi, A.M. Vinogradov: The local structure of  $n$ -Poisson and  $n$ -Jacobi manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **25** (1998), 141-182.
- [MS] D. McDuff, D. Salamon: Introduction to symplectic topology. Second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [N] Ngô-van-Quê: Sur l'espace de prolongement différentiable, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **2** (1968), 33-40.
- [Ni] A. Nijenhuis: Jacobi-type identities for bilinear differential concomitants of certain tensor fields I., *Indag. Math.*, **17** (1995), 390-403.
- [P] J. C. Pérez Bueno: Generalized Jacobi structures, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997), 6509-6515.
- [Sc] J. A. Schouten: Über Differentialkonkomitanten zweier kontravarianter Größen, *Indag. Math.*, **2** (1940), 449-452.
- [St] J. Stasheff: Closed string field theory, strong homotopy Lie algebras and the operad actions of moduli spaces, *Perspectives in mathematical physics*, 265–288, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Math. Phys., III, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [T] L. Takhtajan: On foundations of generalized Nambu mechanics, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **160** (1994), 295-315.

- [TU] W. Tulczyjew and P. Urbański: Differential forms on vector bundles, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **45** (2000), 357-370.
- [Wi] E. Witten: Supersymmetry and Morse theory, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), no. 4, 661–692 (1983).
- [Zw] B. Zwiebach: Closed string field theory: quantum action and the Batalin-Vilkovisky master equation, *Nuclear Phys. B* **390** (1993), no. 1, 33–152.